Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Peter Sawatzki,<sup>a</sup> Thomas Mikeska,<sup>a</sup> Konrad Sandhoff,<sup>a</sup> Martin Nieger<sup>b</sup> and Thomas Kolter<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Kekulé-Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie der Universität, Gerhard-Domagk-Straße 1, 53121 Bonn, Germany, and <sup>b</sup>Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Gerhard-Domagk-Straße 1, 53121 Bonn, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: tkolter@uni-bonn.de

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 200 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.073 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The identity of the title piperazinone,  $C_8H_{16}N_2O$ , obtained by an unusual deoxygenation reaction, has been confirmed by its crystal structure. We describe the conformation of this template used to mimic conformationally flexible peptides and lipids and compare it to related piperazinones.

6(S)-Methyl-3(S)-(1-methylethyl)piperazin-2-one

Received 19 December 2002 Accepted 8 January 2003 Online 17 January 2003

### Comment

The title chiral 3,6-disubstituted piperazin-2-one, (I), was obtained during the synthesis of a potential head group mimic of the naturally occurring bioactive lipid ceramide. The piperazinone skeleton has been used before as a conformationally restricted analog in the synthesis of peptidomimetics (Kolter et al., 1995; Suarez-Gea et al., 1996; Schanen et al., 1996; Uchida & Achiwa, 1996). More recently, 4-N-alkylated piperazin-2-ones have been synthesized by a different route as conformationally rigid analogs of another bioactive lipid, viz. diacylglycerol (Endo et al., 1997). Compound (I) has been prepared from the protected, configurationally stable dipeptide aldehyde (III) (Kolter et al., 1992) by hydrogenolysis. During this reaction, a reproducible deoxygenation of the 6hydroxymethyl residue to a methyl group occurs as an unexpected side reaction in about 20% yield. Similar results have been obtained with a starting material in which the isopropyl group is replaced by a benzyl residue (not shown). The mechanism of this reaction is not clear, but the identity of the deoxygenated compound (I) was confirmed by this crystallographic investigation. Furthermore, the crystal structure gives information on the three-dimensional structure of the piperazinone scaffold and the influence of ring substituents on the ring conformation (Michel et al., 1987).



The structure of (I), with the atom numbering, is shown in Fig. 1. Selected geometrical parameters are listed in Table 1. The six-membered ring system adopts a distorted half-chair conformation, with atoms N4 and C5 on opposite sides with respect to the C6/N1/C2/C3 plane. In the piperazinone, the substituents at the 3- and 6- positions are in a *cisoid* configuration. The methyl group shows a pseudo-axial orientation [torsion angle C2-N1-C6-C61 is -100.2 (2)°], whereas the isopropyl substituent is pseudo-equatorially orientated [the torsion angle C31-C3-N4-C5 is -174.9 (2)°]. There is one moderate intermolecular hydrogen bond (Steiner, 2002)

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography



### Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level for the non-H atoms. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.



Figure 2 A view of the unit cell, showing the intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

within the crystal. This hydrogen bond, between N1-H and O21, shows a nearly linear geometry [deviation from the ideal angle:  $40.3 (13)^{\circ}$ ; Table 2]. The structure of a closely related piperazin-2-one has been published before (Michel et al., 1987), in which the *p*-hydroxybenzyl substituent at the 3- and the ethyl carboxylate residue at the 6-position are also arranged in a *cisoid* configuration. We compared bond lengths and angles within the ring of (I) with those in the published structure. The deviations in bond lengths range from 0.002 to 0.027 Å and for the angles from 0.0 to 3.2°. Therefore, although the authors proposed the contribution of a dipoledipole interaction between these substituents, the ring conformation is very similar to (I). The structure of an additional piperazin-2-one with a trans-relationship between a 3isopropyl and a 6-hydroxymethyl substituent has been described before (Kolter et al., 1996). Here, the ring also adopts a half-chair conformation, showing stronger distortion compared to (I) [deviation from the ideal C6/N1/C2/C3 dihedral angle is 1.7° greater than that of compound (I)]. Also, the hydrogen bond between the NH group and the intermolecular piperazinone oxo group shows a similar geometry.

The absolute configuration of (I) could not be determined reliably [Flack (1983) parameter x = 0.2 (3)]. Therefore, (I) was assigned to agree with the chirality as established by synthesis. In the past, conformationally flexible bioactive molecules, such as lipids and peptides, have been mimicked by heterocycles of this type. The data on the conformation of 3,6disubstituted piperazin-2-ones in the solid state should be helpful in the design of further lipid and peptide mimics based on this skeleton.

# **Experimental**

Glassware was flame-dried under an argon atmosphere and allowed to cool. Dipeptide aldehyde (III) (Kolter et al., 1992) (321.4 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 ml). After addition of a catalytic amount of palladium on charcoal (5%), the mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 18 h. The catalyst was filtered off and washed twice with methanol (10 ml). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silicia gel (chloroform/methanol 10:1) as eluant, giving colorless crystals (yield: 32.5 mg, 20.8%) suitable for X-ray analysis. TLC: 20:1 dichloromethane-methanol,  $R_F$ : 0.29;  $\alpha_D$ : -121.5°  $(c = 0.38; CHCl_3); m.p.: 385 K; {}^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, d_6-DMSO): \delta 0.81$  $[d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}; CH(CH_3)_2], 0.92 [d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}; CH(CH_3)_2],$ 1.09 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H; CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.23 [dqq, J = 3.4 Hz, J = 6.8 Hz, J = 6.8Hz, 1H; CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 2.62 (*ddd*, *J* = 0.8 Hz, *J* = 3.4 Hz, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 1H; H-5), 2.82 (dd, J = 4.0 Hz, J = 12.4 Hz, 1H; H-5), 2.98 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H; H-3), 3.30 (m, 1H; H-6), 3.36 (m, br, 1H; NH), 7.62 (m, br, 1H; CONH); EI-HRMS: calculated:  $M^+$ , m/z = 156.1260, found: m/z =156.1262.

### Crystal data

 $C_{8}H_{16}N_{2}O$   $M_{r} = 156.23$ Orthorhombic,  $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$  a = 8.471 (2) Å b = 9.282 (1) Å c = 11.271 (1) Å  $V = 886.2 (2) \text{ Å}^{3}$  Z = 4  $D_{x} = 1.171 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: none 3164 measured reflections 1315 independent reflections 1289 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.073$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ w = $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ w $wR(F^2) = 0.073$ ...S = 1.12( $\Delta$ 1315 reflections $\Delta\mu$ 107 parameters $\Delta\mu$ H atoms treated by a mixture of<br/>independent and constrained<br/>refinementEx

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 40-46^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.62 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 (2) KBlock, colourless  $0.30 \times 0.23 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\max} = 60.0^{\circ} \\ h = -9 \rightarrow 9 \\ k = -10 \rightarrow 1 \\ l = -12 \rightarrow 12 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{frequency: 60 min} \\ \text{intensity decay: none} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0246P)^2 \\ &+ 0.0864P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.15 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97} \\ {\rm Extinction \ coefficient: \ 0.053 \ (2)} \end{split}
```

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1-C2	1.3324 (17)	C3-N4	1.4561 (17)
N1-C6	1.4639 (18)	N4-C5	1.4568 (18)
C2-C3	1.5241 (19)	C5-C6	1.501 (2)
$C_{2}-N_{1}-C_{6}$	126 34 (12)	$C_{3}-N_{4}-C_{5}$	111 70 (10)
N1 - C2 - C3	118.03 (12)	N4-C5-C6	108.53 (12)
N4-C3-C2	111.27 (11)	N1-C6-C5	108.60 (12)
C6-N1-C2-C3	-7.8 (2)	C2-N1-C6-C61	-100.24 (17)
C31-C3-N4-C5	-174.87(13)	N4-C5-C6-C61	70.19 (15)
C2-N1-C6-C5	24.42 (18)		. ,

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots O21^i$	0.90 (1)	2.13 (2)	2.876 (2)	139.7 (13)
<b>6</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 1			

Symmetry code: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, 1 - z$ .

Friedel pairs (526) were not merged. The positions of the amide H atom and amine H atom were determined from a difference Fourier map and the coordinates were refined freely, with isotropic displacement parameters constrained to  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm N})$ . All remaining H atoms were treated as riding, with C–H = 0.98–1.00 Å, and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm CH}, {\rm CH}_2)$  and  $1.5 U_{\rm eq}({\rm CH}_3)$ .

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Sheldrick, 1992); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick,

1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-NT* (Sheldrick, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Sonderforschungsbereich 284 (SFB 284), and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

### References

- Endo, Y., Hirano, M., Driedger, P. E., Stabel, S. & Shudo, K. (1997). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 7, 2997–3000.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Kolter, T., Dahl, C. & Giannis, A. (1995). Liebigs Ann. Chem. pp. 625-629.
- Kolter, T., Klein, A. & Giannis, A. (1992). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 31, 1391–1392.
- Kolter, T., Rübsam, F., Giannis, A. & Nieger, M. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 978– 980.
- Michel, A., Evrard, G. & Norberg, B. (1987). Can. J. Chem. 65, 1308-1312.
- Schanen, V., Cherrier, M.-P., de Melo, S. J., Quirion, J.-C. & Husson, H.-P. (1996). Synthesis, pp. 833–837.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). XCAD4. University of Göttingen, Germany. [Modified by K. Harms, University of Marburg, Germany.]
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). *SHELXTL*. DOS/Windows/NT Version 6.12. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Steiner, T. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 48-76.
- Suarez-Gea, M. L., Garcia-Lopez, M. G., Gonzalez-Muniz, R., Herrero, S. & Herranz, R. (1996). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 37, 2083–2084.
- Uchida, H. & Achiwa, K. (1996). Synlett, pp. 9-12.